

NSA & DIA Declassification/Release
Instructions on File

11 December 1975

FY-75 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION: KIQ-25

KIQ-25: What are the size and composition of the Warsaw Pact and NATO forces in the NATO Guidelines Area (NGA)?

PART I -- EVALUATION OF KIQ ACHIEVEMENT.

A. Overall Performance Evaluation.

Substantial progress was made during the past year toward satisfying this KIQ. Collectors, processors, and producers of intelligence in support of this KIQ have either met or progressed significantly toward meeting the objectives. In addition, there has been considerable current support provided to the MBFR negotiating team using the developing KIQ-25 data bases.

B. Evaluation of Performance with Respect to Collection Objectives and Relative Contribution of Collection Methods.

25X1C
25X1C

1. Against Warsaw Pact Forces:

[REDACTED]

25X1D1a

& NSA 25X3

25X1D1a

& NSA 25X3

2. Against non-US NATO data requirements: Military attaches provided almost all the information on non-US NATO forces. The remainder was contributed by the US Mission at NATO.

C. Evaluation of Performance with Respect to Exploitation Objectives.

[REDACTED]

25X1D3a

25X1D1a

& NSA

~~TOP SECRET UMBRA~~

D. Evaluation of Performance with Respect to Production Objectives.

CIA and DIA have created a data base of manpower and equipment strengths which is already being used in support of MBFR negotiations. In addition they have both participated in the development of an automated Soviet Warsaw Pact air and ground order of battle file, maintained in DIA, which contains community judgments of equipment and personnel strengths of units to the regimental level of detail. Numerous reports have been developed in direct support of the US MBFR delegation. In addition, CIA and DIA produced under the aegis of the NIO for Conventional Forces an interagency memorandum establishing official US estimated personnel strengths of Warsaw Pact ground forces in the NATO Guidelines Area. CIA with DIA participation produced a coordinated assessment of Warsaw Pact nuclear force levels.

PART II -- EVALUATION OF AGENCY COMMITMENTS.

A. Collection Programs.

25X1D0e

[REDACTED]

25X1D1a

& NSA
25X3

[REDACTED]

25X1C

[REDACTED]

4. Defector reporting obtained from both the Defector Reception Center and the US Army made a substantial contribution to judgments on personnel and equipment strengths. 25X1C

[REDACTED]

~~TOP SECRET UMBRA~~

~~TOP SECRET UMBRA~~

B. Exploitation Programs.

25X1D0e



25X1D1a

& NSA
25X3



C. Production Programs.

1. CIA has provided direct support to the MBFR negotiating team in coordination with DIA. In addition, they, with DIA, were the contributors to the Interagency Intelligence Memorandum: Estimated Manpower of Warsaw Pact Forces in the NATO Guidelines Area.

2. DIA has provided direct support to the MBFR negotiating team in coordination with CIA. In addition, they, with CIA, were the contributors to the Interagency Intelligence Memorandum: Estimated Manpower of Warsaw Pact Forces in the NATO Guidelines Area. Input from the new DIA branch in support of production for this KIQ was evident in the production of the coordinated CIA/DIA assessment of nuclear force levels. DIA automated files on NATO forces in the NATO guidelines area met the requirements of this KIQ.

3. NSA made improvements in the reporting of information relevant to this KIQ.

4. In addition to the above, CIA and DIA contributed to the Department of Defense NATO Fact Book which is used in support of the MBFR negotiations.

KIQ 25 - 3

~~TOP SECRET UMBRA~~